

Kean University

Kean Digital Learning Commons

Evidence Inventory

2021

GE Program SLO Critical Thinking Rubric

Kean University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.kean.edu/evidence_inventory

Recommended Citation

Kean University, "GE Program SLO Critical Thinking Rubric" (2021). *Evidence Inventory*. 154.
https://digitalcommons.kean.edu/evidence_inventory/154

This University Document is brought to you for free and open access by Kean Digital Learning Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Evidence Inventory by an authorized administrator of Kean Digital Learning Commons. For more information, please contact learningcommons@kean.edu.

GE Program SLO Critical Thinking Rubric

Abstract

GE Program SLO Critical Thinking Rubric

Keywords

GE SLO, Critical Thinking, Rubric

GENERAL EDUCATION STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOME: CRITICAL THINKING

The ability to utilize reflective analysis to draw informed conclusions.

	Capstone 4	Milestones		Benchmark 1
		3	2	
Explanation of Issues	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated clearly and described comprehensively, delivering all relevant information necessary for full understanding.	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated, described, and clarified so that understanding is not seriously impeded by omissions.	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated but description leaves some terms undefined, ambiguities unexplored, boundaries undetermined, and/ or backgrounds unknown.	Issue/ problem to be considered critically is stated without clarification or description.
Evidence: {Selecting and using information to investigate a point of view or conclusion}	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a comprehensive analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are questioned thoroughly.	Information is taken from source(s) with enough interpretation/evaluation to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are subject to questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) with some interpretation/ evaluation, but not enough to develop a coherent analysis or synthesis. Viewpoints of experts are taken as mostly fact, with little questioning.	Information is taken from source(s) without any interpretation/ evaluation. Viewpoints of experts are taken as fact, without question.
Influence of context and assumptions and embracing contradictions	Integrates alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives and thoroughly (systematically and methodically) analyzes own and others' assumptions and carefully evaluates the relevance of contexts when presenting a position.	Identifies own and others' assumptions and relevant contexts and incorporates alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas in an exploratory way when presenting a position.	Questions some assumptions. Identifies/includes (recognizes the value of) alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas when presenting a position. May be more aware of others' assumptions than one's own (or vice versa).	Acknowledges alternate, divergent, or contradictory perspectives or ideas. Shows an emerging awareness of present assumptions. Begins to identify some contexts when presenting a position.
Student's position (perspective, thesis/hypothesis)	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) is imaginative, taking into account the complexities of an issue. Limits of position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) are acknowledged and others' points of view are synthesized within position.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) takes into account the complexities of an issue. Others' points of view are acknowledged within position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis).	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) acknowledges different sides of an issue.	Specific position (perspective, thesis/ hypothesis) is stated, but is simplistic and obvious.
Connecting, Synthesizing, Transforming	Transforms ideas or solutions into entirely new forms.	Synthesizes ideas or solutions into a coherent whole.	Connects ideas or solutions in novel ways.	Recognizes existing connections among ideas or solutions.
Conclusions and related outcomes (implications and consequences)	Conclusions and related outcomes (consequences and implications) are logical and reflect student's informed evaluation and ability to place evidence and perspectives discussed in priority order.	Conclusion is logically tied to a range of information, including opposing viewpoints; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is logically tied to information (because information is chosen to fit the desired conclusion); some related outcomes (consequences and implications) are identified clearly.	Conclusion is inconsistently tied to some of the information discussed; related outcomes (consequences and implications) are oversimplified.

Effective Date: 2015 - Format Revised: 2020

For information, please contact the School of General Studies 908-737-0330 or www.kean.edu/academics/college-liberal-arts/school-general-studies

Kean University 1000 Morris Avenue, Union NJ 07083 www.kean.edu